Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/078,071	VATUS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) Roy M. Punnoose.	(3)	•
(2) Atty. Michael A. Bernadicou (Reg. No. 35,934)	. (4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2004	Time: <u>1:36 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	opplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 23-25		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C Atty. Michael A. Bernadicou agreed to cancel claims 23-25		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of result in resolution of all issues. A brief su 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	plicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)